

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A' TORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,340	01/31/2001	John T. McDevitt	5119-00524EBM	7212
75	90 07/29/2002		•	
ERIC B. MEYERTONS			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 398	SE & TAYON, P.C.		MARSCHEL	MARSCHEL, ARDIN H
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631 DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	•	MCDEVITT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	09/775,340					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Ardin Marschel					
Period for Reply		,				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however,  within the statutory minimur  will apply and will expire SIX ( cause the application to bee	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
,-	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	ex parte quayle, 10	30 C.B. 11, 100 C.C. 2.0.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>309-343 and 375</u> is/are pending in th	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 309-343 and 375 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a)           The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.     </li> <li>15)           Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.     </li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li></ol>	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/775,340

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 309-343, drawn to methods and systems which collect and transmit chemical information to a client computer over a computer network wherein the client computer is configured to receive said information over said network, classified in class 710, subclass 104. If this Group is elected, then the below summarized specie election is also required.
- II. Claim 375, drawn to systems for detecting an analyte in a fluid with communication software to transmit chemical information to a computer system, classified in class 700, subclass 73.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Group I and II are directed to distinct function and/or system components in that Group I collects chemical information and then transmits it over a computer network to a client computer which is configured to receive such information. In contrast the Group II system is directed to chemical information collection and communication to a computer. No network is required in Group II. No client is required in Group II. No client computer configuration requirement. Thus, the subject matter of Group II is a basic computer run analytical system whereas the subject matter of Group I is directed to specific

Application/Control Number: 09/775,340

Art Unit: 1631

network/client applications of an analytical system and methods therefore. Therefore the search for both Groups together would be an undue search burden as they are directed to systems and/or methods that are generally distinct and separate in chemical information analyses.

## SPECIE ELECTION REGARDING GROUP I:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie I-A: sensor array detection as set forth in claim 325

Specie I-B: Non-sensor array detection, such as a single detector, for example.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 309-324 and 343 are generic to the above species. The species are distinct due to the distinct usage of arrays as being directed to profiling over a variety of sensor array elements which is not possible with a single detector element and thus presents a different and clearly distinct search burden which is undue if searched together.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,340

Art Unit: 1631

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1631

Page 5

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703)308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703)308-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703)308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (703)305-3524 or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

July 26, 2002

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXAMINER